

On a conjecture of Donovan and Wemyss

Zheng Hua^{*1}

¹Department of Mathematics, the University of Hong Kong

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that for a three dimensional flopping contraction the contraction algebra together with its natural A_∞ structure constructed in [11], determines the formal neighborhood of the singularity. This gives an affirmative answer to the A_∞ -enhanced conjecture of Donovan and Wemyss in [6].

1 Introduction

In [6], [7], Donovan and Wemyss constructed certain algebras called *contraction algebras* associated with birational morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with at most one-dimensional fibers. The contraction algebras pro-represent the functors of non-commutative deformations of the reduced fiber of f . It has remarkable applications to the study of autoequivalences of derived categories [6], birational geometry [7] and enumerate geometry [11].

When f is a 3-fold flopping contraction, the contraction algebra generalizes various classical invariants of the rigid rational curves, including Reid's width for $(0, -2)$ curve, the length of the scheme theoretical fiber and Katz's genus zero Gopakumar-Vafa invariants [11]. Indeed, Donovan and Wemyss conjectures that their contraction algebra recovers the formal neighborhood at the flopping curve, so that the contraction algebra contains enough information for the local geometry of the flopping curve.

Conjecture 1.1. ([6, Conjecture 1.4]) *Suppose that $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X' \rightarrow Y'$ are three dimensional flopping contractions of smooth quasi-projective 3-folds, to points p and p' respectively. To these, associate the contraction algebras A_{con} and B_{con} . Then the completions of stalks at p and p' are isomorphic if and only if $A_{con} \cong B_{con}$ as algebras.*

In this paper, we prove the above conjecture with the contraction algebra replaced by its A_∞ -enhancement.

^{*}huazheng@maths.hku.hk

Theorem 1.2. (Theorem 2.5) Suppose that $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X' \rightarrow Y'$ are three dimensional flopping contractions of smooth quasi-projective 3-folds, to points p and p' respectively. Then the completions of stalks at p and p' are isomorphic if and only if A_{con} and B_{con} are Morita equivalent as $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded A_∞ algebras.

The idea of the proof is to reduce the problem to the Mather-Yau theorem (theorem 4.3) via the Hochschild cohomology of the contraction algebra. And then reconstruct the Tjurina algebra of the singularity using the A_∞ -structure.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall the construction of the contraction algebra and its A_∞ -structure. In section 3, we collect several results on Hochschild cohomology that are needed for the proof of the main theorem. In section 4, we give the proof of the main result.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Will Donovan, Michael Wemyss for many valuable discussions. The research is supported by RGC Early Career grant no. 27300214 and NSFC Science Fund for Young Scholars no. 11401501.

2 Contraction algebra and its A_∞ structure

2.1 Contraction algebra

Let X be a smooth quasi-projective complex 3-fold. A flopping contraction is a birational morphism

$$f : X \rightarrow Y \quad (2.1)$$

which is an isomorphism in codimension one and $\mathbf{R}f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$. Notice that Y has only Gorenstein singularities (Proposition 16.2 [4]). We denote by C the exceptional locus of f and its reduced scheme by C^{red} . It is well known that C^{red} has a decomposition

$$C^{\text{red}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i^{\text{red}}.$$

where $C_i^{\text{red}} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

Let $p \in Y$ be the image of C under f , and we set $R = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,p}$ the formal completion of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ at the singular point p . We take the completion of 2.1

$$\hat{f} : \hat{X} := X \times_Y \text{Spec } R \rightarrow \hat{Y} := \text{Spec } R. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_i$ be a line bundle on $\hat{X}$ such that $\deg_{C_j} \mathcal{L}_i = \delta_{ij}$. Define $\mathcal{N}_i$ to be given by the maximal extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_i^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}^{\oplus r_i} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2.3)$$

associated to a minimal set of r_i generators of $H^1(\hat{X}, \mathcal{L}_i^{-1})$. Denote $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{N}_i$ by $\mathcal{N}$.

We set $\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}} \oplus \mathcal{N}$, $N := \mathbf{R}f_*\mathcal{N} = f_*\mathcal{N}$ and define

$$A := \text{End}_{\hat{X}}(\mathcal{U}).$$

By Lemma 4.2.1 [20], $A \cong \text{End}_R(R \oplus N)$. Van den Bergh ([20, Section 3.2.8]) shows that $\mathcal{U}$ is a tilting object, i.e. $F := \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_{\hat{X}}(\mathcal{U}, -)$ defines an equivalence of triangulated categories $D^b(\text{coh}(\hat{X})) \cong D^b(\text{mod-}A)$.

Definition 2.1. ([6, Definition 2.8]) Let R , N and A be those defined in 2.3. The contraction algebra A_{con} is defined to be A/I_{con} , where I_{con} is the two sided ideal of A consisting of morphisms $R \oplus N \rightarrow R \oplus N$ factoring through a summand of finite sums of R .

Remark 2.2. In [6] and [7], Donovan and Wemyss gave an alternative definition of the contraction algebra as the algebra pro-representing the non-commutative deformations of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{C_i^{\text{red}}}$. It is equivalent with definition 2.1 under the setting of this paper.

2.2 Contraction algebra via matrix factorizations

Let Y be a quasi-projective scheme. Denote $D^b(\text{coh}(Y))$ for the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on Y and $\mathfrak{P}\text{erf}(Y)$ for the full subcategory consisting of perfect complexes on Y . We define a triangulated category $D_{\text{sg}}(Y)$ as the quotient of $D^b(\text{coh}(Y))$ by $\mathfrak{P}\text{erf}(Y)$ (cf. [18, Definition 1.8]). Consider the case when Y is a hypersurface in a smooth affine variety $\text{Spec } B$ defined by $W = 0$ for a function $W \in B$. Denote $B/(W)$ by R . Buchweitz, and independently Orlov, proved that the derived category of singularities $D_{\text{sg}}(Y)$ is equivalent, as triangulated categories, with the stable category of maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -modules $\underline{\text{CM}} R$, which is equivalent with the homotopy category of the category of matrix factorizations $\text{MF}(W)$ (cf. [18, Theorem 3.9]). The objects of $\text{MF}(W)$ are the ordered pairs:

$$\bar{E} = (E, \delta_E) := E_1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \\ \xleftarrow{\delta_0} \end{array} E_0$$

where E_0 and E_1 are finitely generated projective B -modules and the compositions $\delta_0\delta_1$ and $\delta_1\delta_0$ are the multiplications by the element $W \in B$. The precise definition of the category of matrix factorizations $\text{MF}(W)$ can be found in [18, Section 3].

Below, we assume that Y is an affine hypersurface with one isolated singular point. By taking the completion at the singular point, we may further assume that $B = \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ and W has an isolated critical point at the origin. We define the *Milnor algebra* of Y to be $M_W := \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]/J_W$ with $J_W := (\partial_1 W, \dots, \partial_n W)$. In this case, it is well known that the Hochschild cohomology of $\text{MF}(W)$ is isomorphic, as $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras, with M_W (for example, see corollary 6.4 of [5]).

Let $\hat{f} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y} = \text{Spec } R$ be a three dimensional flopping contraction considered in the previous section, where $R \cong \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_4]]/(W)$. A coherent sheaf

E on $\hat{Y}$ can be identified with a finitely generated R -module M . It represents an object in the category $D_{sg}(\hat{Y})$, which is equivalent with $MF(W)$. We denote the corresponding matrix factorization of M by M^{st} , called the *stabilization* of M .

From the theorem of Dyckerhoff (Theorem 4.1 [5]), we know that the stabilization of the structure sheaf of the origin k^{st} is a compact generator of $MF(W)$. For the singularity underlying a three dimensional flopping contraction, a different generator exists by the work of Iyama and Wemyss [13].

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}$ be the tilting bundle on $\hat{X}$ and N be the R -module defined as $\hat{f}_*\mathcal{N}$. Its stabilization N^{st} is a compact generator of $MF(W)$.*

Proof. Because $R \oplus N$ is a tilting object, proposition 5.10 of [13] implies that N is a generator. By [5, Section 4], N^{st} is a compact object. $\square$

In [11], we prove that the contraction algebra is isomorphic with the endomorphism of N^{st} in $MF(W)$. Therefore it carries a natural $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded A_∞ structure.

Proposition 2.4. *([11] Proposition 3.2) Let W, R, N be defined as above. We have*

$$A_{con} \cong \text{Hom}_{MF(W)}(N^{st}, N^{st}). \quad (2.4)$$

From the proof of proposition 2.4, which we refer to [11], it turns out that $A_{con} \cong \text{Hom}_{MF(W)}^0(N^{st}, N^{st})$ and $\text{Hom}_{MF(W)}^1(N^{st}, N^{st}) = 0$. Therefore, the A_∞ structure on A_{con} consists purely in even terms. We may consider the A_∞ enhancement of the conjecture of Donovan and Wemyss. The proof will be presented in section 4.

Theorem 2.5. *([11] Conjecture 5.3) Suppose that $X \rightarrow Y$ and $X' \rightarrow Y'$ are three dimensional flopping contractions of smooth quasi-projective 3-folds, to points p and p' respectively. Then the completions of stalks at p and p' are isomorphic if and only if A_{con} and B_{con} are Morita equivalent as $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded A_∞ algebras.*

3 Hochschild cohomology

3.1 Hochschild cohomology of graded algebras

Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded or $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded algebra over a field k . As a convention, we denote the elements of A by $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i, \dots$ and its degree by $|a_i|$. Denote the map from $A \otimes \dots \otimes A \rightarrow A$ by $f, g, \dots$ and its degree by $|f|$.

The *Hochschild cochain complex* $CC^\bullet(A, A)$ is defined as

$$CC^d(A, A) = \prod_{i+j=d} \text{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes i}, A[j]). \quad (3.1)$$

Here Hom_k stands for the space of k -linear maps of degree zero. And $A[j]$ is the free A -module defined by $A[j]_l := A_{l+j}$. The *Hochschild differential* ∂ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(f)(a_1, \dots, a_n) &= -(-1)^{(|a_1|+1)|f|} a_1 f(a_2, \dots, a_n) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=2}^n (-1)^{\epsilon_i} f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1} a_i, \dots, a_n) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{\epsilon_n} f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) a_n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\epsilon_i = |f| + |a_1| + \dots + |a_{i-1}| - i + 1$. The *Hochschild cohomology* of the graded algebra A , denoted by $HH^\bullet(A, A)$ is defined to be $H(CC^\bullet(A, A), \partial)$.

For $f \in CC^n(A, A)$ and $g \in CC^m(A, A)$, the cup product on $CC^\bullet(A, A)$ is defined by

$$(f \cup g)(a_1, \dots, a_{m+n}) = (-1)^{|g|(\sum_{i \leq m} |a_i| + 1)} f(a_1, \dots, a_m) g(a_{m+1}, \dots, a_{m+n}).$$

For $f \in CC^n(A, A)$ and $g \in CC^m(A, A)$ one defines the composition at the i -th place (or the *braces* structure) $f \circ_i g \in CC^{m+n-1}(A, A)$

$$f \circ_i g(a_1, \dots, a_{m+n-1}) = (-1)^{(|g|+1) \sum_{j \leq i} (|a_j|+1)} f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, g(a_i, \dots, a_{m+i-1}), \dots, a_{m+n-1}). \quad (3.3)$$

Define

$$f \circ g := \sum_{i=1}^n f \circ_i g; \quad \{f, g\} := f \circ g - (-1)^{(n-1)(m-1)} g \circ f.$$

The bracket $\{, \}$ induces the *Gerstenhaber bracket* on $HH^\bullet(A, A)$. It was proved in [9] that $\{, \}$ and $\cup$ satisfy the properties:

- (1) $\{f, g\} = -(-1)^{(|f|-1)(|g|-1)} \{g, f\}$;
- (2) $\{f, g \cup h\} = \{f, g\} \cup h + (-1)^{(|f|-1)|g|} g \cup \{f, h\}$;
- (3) $(-1)^{(|f|-1)(|h|-1)} \{f, \{g, h\}\} + cp(f, g, h) = 0$;
- (4) $\cup$ induces a (graded) commutative product on $HH^\bullet(A, A)$;

Here $cp(f, g, h)$ means cyclic permutation. The above properties says that the cup product and the Gerstenhaber bracket make $HH^\bullet(A, A)$ a Poisson algebra of degree -1 . This can be viewed as an analogue of the odd Poisson structure on the space of polyvector fields on a manifold.

Observe that the definition of the braces structure does not involve the algebra structure on A while the differential ∂ and the cup product do. The product $\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ can be viewed as an element in $CC^2(A, A)$. By formulae 3.2 and 3.3, it is easy to check that

$$\partial(f) = (-1)^{|f|-1} \{\mu, f\}.$$

The associativity of μ is equivalent with the equation $\{\mu, \mu\} = 0$.

Denote A^e for $A \otimes A^{op}$, where A^{op} is the opposite algebra of A defined by

$$\mu^{op}(a, b) = (-1)^{|a||b|} \mu(b, a).$$

Given a A^e -module M , we may define the Hochschild cochain complex with value in M

$$CC^d(A, M) = \prod_{i+j=d} \text{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes i}, M[j]).$$

The formula of the differential is the same with 3.2, except in the first and last term the multiplications are replaced by the actions. Denote the bar resolution of A as A^e -modules:

$$\dots \longrightarrow A^{\otimes 3} \longrightarrow A^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow 0$$

by $\mathbb{B}(A)$. Because $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(A^{\otimes n+2}, M) \cong \text{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes n}, M)$, $CC^\bullet(A, M)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{B}(A), M)$ as complexes of k -modules.

Let A and B be two graded k -algebras and X be a $A \otimes B^{op}$ -module. Let $C^\bullet(A, X, B)$ be a graded vector space with

$$C^d(A, X, B) = \prod_{j+l+m=d-1} \text{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes l} \otimes X \otimes B^{\otimes m}, X[j]).$$

The differential on $C^\bullet(A, X, B)$ is defined by a similar formula like 3.2 with the product replaced by action when an element of X is involved.

3.2 Hochschild cohomology of A_∞ -algebra

Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded or $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded A_∞ -algebra over k , with A_∞ -structures

$$m_k : A^{\otimes k} \rightarrow A[2-k] \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

For $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\sum_{r+s+t=n} (-1)^{r+st} m_u(a_1, \dots, a_r, m_s(a_{r+1}, \dots, a_{r+s}), a_{r+s+1}, \dots, a_n) = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

where we put $u = r + 1 + t$.

The element $m := \sum_{k \geq 1} m_k$ defines an element in $CC^2(A, A)$. The following result follows from a straightforward calculation.

Proposition 3.1. *The equation $\{m, m\} = 0$ is equivalent with the relations 3.4.*

We define the differential on $CC^\bullet(A, A)$ by

$$\partial(f) = (-1)^{|f|-1} \{m, f\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Similar to the graded algebra case, we define $HH^\bullet(A, A)$ to be $H(CC^\bullet(A, A), \partial)$. Clearly, m represents a canonical cohomology class in $HH^2(A, A)$.

An A_∞ -module over A is a graded space M endowed with maps:

$$m_k^M : A^{\otimes k-1} \otimes M \rightarrow M[2-k], \quad k \geq 1$$

such that an identity of the form 3.4 holds, but with $m_u(\dots, a_r, m_s, a_{r+s+1} \dots)$ replaced by $m_u^M(\dots, a_r, m_s, a_{r+s+1} \dots, a_{r+s+t})$ for $t > 0$ and $m_u^M(\dots, m_s^M)$ for $t = 0$.

Let A and B be A_∞ algebras. An A_∞ -module over $A \otimes B^{op}$ (or an A - B A_∞ -bimodule) X is a graded space endowed with maps

$$m_{l,m}^X : A^{\otimes l} \otimes X \otimes B^{\otimes m} \rightarrow X,$$

such that for $n \geq 1$, $m_{n,0}^X$ and $m_{0,n}^X$ satisfies the relations of A_∞ -modules over A and B^{op} , and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{l+m+s=n-1 \\ r+t=s}} \pm m_{l,m}^X(a_1, \dots, a_l, m_{r,t}^X(a_{l+1}, \dots, a_{l+r}, x, a_{l+r+1}, \dots, a_{l+r+t}), a_{l+s+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{r+s+t+m=n-1 \\ r+t+1=l}} \pm m_{l,m}^X(a_1, \dots, a_r, m_s^A(a_{r+1}, \dots, a_{r+s}), a_{r+s+1}, \dots, a_{r+s+t}, x, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ & + \sum_{\substack{r+s+t+l=n-1 \\ r+t+1=m}} \pm m_{l,m}^X(a_1, \dots, a_l, x, a_{l+1}, \dots, a_{l+r}, m_s^B(a_{l+r+1}, \dots, a_{l+r+s}), a_{l+r+s+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}) \\ & = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $l, m \neq 0$.

Given A_∞ -algebras A , B and a $A \otimes B^{op}$ -module X , we can construct a new A_∞ -algebra G consisting of upper triangular matrices

$$g := \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$$

for $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $x \in X$. The A_∞ structure on G is defined by

$$m_k^G = \begin{pmatrix} m_k^A & \sum_{l+m=k-1} m_{l,m}^X \\ 0 & m_k^B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again, we denote m^G for $\sum_k m_k^G$, m^A for $\sum_k m_k^A$ and m^B for $\sum_k m_k^B$.

There are canonical inclusions of complexes of G^e modules

$$\mu_A : A \otimes_A \mathbb{B}(A) \otimes_A (A \oplus X) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(G)$$

and

$$\mu_B : (B \oplus X) \otimes_B \mathbb{B}(B) \otimes_B B \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(G).$$

For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$A \otimes_A A^{\otimes n+2} \otimes_A (A \oplus X) \cong A \otimes_k A^{\otimes n} \otimes_k (A \oplus X).$$

The right hand side embeds into $G^{\otimes n+2}$ as summand. This defines the inclusion μ_A , and similarly μ_B .

Observe that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G^e}(A \otimes_A \mathbb{B}(A) \otimes_A (A \oplus X), G) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{B}(A), A)$$

by adjunction. As a consequence, μ_A induces a chain map

$$\mu_A^* : CC^\bullet(G, G) = \mathrm{Hom}_{G^e}(\mathbb{B}(G), G) \rightarrow CC^\bullet(A, A) = \mathrm{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbb{B}(A), A).$$

Similarly, μ_B induces a map $\mu_B^* : CC^\bullet(G, G) \rightarrow CC^\bullet(B, B)$.

The following proposition follows immediately from the definition of μ_A and μ_B .

Proposition 3.2.

$$\mu_A^*(m^G) = m^A, \quad \mu_B^*(m^G) = m^B.$$

Let A and B be two A_∞ -algebras and X be a $A \otimes B^{op}$ -module. Similar to section 3.1, we define the complex $C^\bullet(A, X, B)$ with

$$C^d(A, X, B) = \prod_{j+l+m=d-1} \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes l} \otimes X \otimes B^{\otimes m}, X[j]).$$

and the Hochschild differential 3.5 but with m^A and m^B replaced by m^X when the inputs have x involved.

We define a morphism of complexes

$$\alpha : CC^\bullet(A, A) \rightarrow C^\bullet(A, X, B)$$

by sending

$$f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes i}, A[d-i])$$

to a map $\alpha(f) \in \prod_l \mathrm{Hom}_k(A^{\otimes l} \otimes X, X[d-l])$ with

$$\alpha(f) = \sum_{r+i+t=l} m_{l-i+2}^X(a_1, \dots, a_r, f(a_{r+1}, \dots, a_{r+i}), a_{r+i+1}, \dots, a_{r+i+t}, x).$$

Similarly, we define a morphism

$$\beta : CC^\bullet(B, B) \rightarrow C^\bullet(A, X, B).$$

The above maps α, β are special cases of a more general construction on dg-categories of Keller (section 4.4 [17]).

3.3 Hochschild cohomology of category of matrix factorizations

Let V be a finite dimensional k -vector space with $k = \mathbb{C}$ and W be an element in $\mathrm{Sym}^{\geq 2}(V^\vee)$. We will further assume that 0 is an isolated critical point of

W . Denote $\text{MF}(W)$ for the dg-category of matrix factorization of W . We now construct the matrix factorization of the structure sheaf of origin.

Decompose W into graded components

$$W = \sum_{i \geq 2} W_i, \quad W_i \in \text{Sym}^i(V^\vee).$$

Define an one-form

$$\omega = \sum_{i \geq 2} \frac{dW_i}{i}.$$

Denote the basis of V by $\{\xi_k\}$ and the dual basis on $V^\vee$ by $\{z_k\}$. We may identify ξ_k with the constant vector field on V . Denote the Euler vector field $\sum_k z_k \xi_k$ on V by η . It is easy to check that $\iota_\eta \omega = W$, where ι is the contraction by vector field. Now the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded vector space $\text{Sym}(V^\vee) \otimes \Lambda(V^\vee)$ with the odd operator $\delta = \iota_\eta + \omega \wedge$ defines a matrix factorization for the structure sheaf of the origin. As an object in $\text{MF}(W)$, we denote it by k^{st} .

The endomorphism $B_W := \text{Hom}_{\text{MF}(W)}(k^{st}, k^{st})$ is endowed with a structure of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded dg-algebra. If we take $W = 0$, then δ defines the Koszul resolution of the structure sheaf of origin in V . Then $B := B_0 = (\Lambda(V^\vee) \otimes \Lambda(V), \iota_\eta \otimes 1)$ is quasi-isomorphic to the exterior algebra $\Lambda(V)$. The dg-algebra B_W is a deformation of the dg-algebra B . Therefore, the minimal model of B_W is an A_∞ -deformation of the exterior algebra $\Lambda(V)$.

Define $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded dg Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ by the formulae:

$$\mathfrak{g}^d = \text{Sym}(V^\vee) \otimes \Lambda^d(V),$$

and

$$\mathfrak{h}^d = \prod_{i+j=d} \text{Hom}(\Lambda(V)^{\otimes i}, \Lambda(V)[j]).$$

Here $\mathfrak{g}$ is equipped with the zero differential and the Schouten bracket. And $\mathfrak{h}$ is nothing but the Hochschild cochain complex of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded algebra $\Lambda(V)$. We recall an important lemma of Efimov.

Lemma 3.3. (*[8] Lemma 8.2*)^{1 2} *There exists a L_∞ quasi-isomorphism between $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$. Under such a quasi-isomorphism, the cohomology class $W \in \mathfrak{g}$ corresponds to the cohomology class $m \in \mathfrak{h}$, which is the A_∞ -structure on the minimal model of B_W .*

Remark 3.4. The above lemma is essentially a consequence of the Koszul duality for curved A_∞ algebras. The classical Koszul duality is a Morita equivalence between $\text{Sym}(V^\vee)$ and $\Lambda(V)$ induced by the bimodule $\Lambda(V^\vee) \otimes \text{Sym}(V^\vee)$. Consider a (curved-) A_∞ deformation of $\text{Sym}(V^\vee)$ (strictly speaking its completion

¹Here we use the standard grading on Hochschild cochain which differs with the grading of Efimov by 1.

²Efimov used the pro-nilpotent version of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ because he needed to define a gauge group action on the MC locus.

$k[[V^\vee]]$) defined by W . The dg category of matrix factorizations $\text{MF}(W)$ can be defined alternatively as the dg-category of the curved A_∞ algebra $(k[[V^\vee]], W)$ (see [19]). And B_W is its Koszul dual. Then the above lemma follows from Proposition 3.2 with X being the Koszul A_∞ bimodule $\Lambda(V^\vee) \otimes k[[V^\vee]]$. We refer to section 8 of [8] for the details.

Recall that the Schouten bracket, denoted by $\{ , \}_{sc}$, on g is defined to be

$$\begin{aligned} \{f\xi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{i_k}, g\xi_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{j_l}\}_{sc} &= \sum_{q=1}^k (-1)^{k-q} (f\partial_{i_q} g) \xi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\xi_{i_q}} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{i_k} \wedge \xi_{j_1} \wedge \\ &\dots \wedge \xi_{j_l} + \sum_{p=1}^l (-1)^{l-p-1+(k-1)(l-1)} (g\partial_{j_p} f) \xi_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\xi_{j_p}} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{j_l} \wedge \xi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{i_k}. \end{aligned}$$

For degree reason, $\{W, W\}_{sc} = 0$ for any $W \in \text{Sym}(V^\vee)$. Given $\theta = g\xi_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{j_l}$,

$$\{W, \theta\}_{sc} = \sum_{p=1}^l (-1)^p (g\partial_{j_p} W) \xi_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\xi_{j_p}} \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{j_l}.$$

Theorem 3.5. *Define the differential on $\mathfrak{g}$ by*

$$\partial := \{W, \}_{sc}.$$

Then $H(\mathfrak{g}, \partial)$ is isomorphic to the Milnor algebra $\text{Sym}(V^\vee)/(\partial_i W)$. Moreover, W represents a zero cohomology class in $H(\mathfrak{g}, \partial)$ if and only if W is quasi-homogeneous.

Proof. Suppose the dimension of V is equal to n . By choosing a nowhere vanishing holomorphic n -form on V , we may identify $\Lambda^i(V)$ with $\Lambda^{n-i}(V^\vee)$. Then g can be identified, using the volume form, with the algebraic de Rham complex $\Omega_V^\bullet$ with differential $dW \wedge \cdot$. The first part of the theorem then follows from the fact that W has only isolated critical points.

Now we prove the second part of the theorem. The class of W is zero if and only if there exists a vector field γ on V such that $\{W, \gamma\}_{sc} = W$. The one parameter subgroup corresponds to γ defines the desired $\mathbb{C}^*$ action that makes W quasi-homogeneous. $\square$

Corollary 3.6. *Let $m \in \mathfrak{h}$ be the A_∞ -structure on the minimal model of B_W . Denote $d := \{m, \}$ for the new differential twisted by the Maurer-Cartan element $m \in \mathfrak{h}$. Here $\{ , \}$ is the Gerstenhaber bracket. Then under the isomorphism $H(\mathfrak{g}, \partial) \cong H(\mathfrak{h}, d)$, the class of W corresponds to the class of m .*

4 Proof of the main theorem

Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be the coordinate of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Denote the stalk of the sheaf of holomorphic functions $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}$ by $\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

Definition 4.1. ([10] Definition 2.9) Let $f, g \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. f is called *contact equivalent* to g , $f \sim^c g$, if there exists an automorphism ϕ of $\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and a unit $u \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}^*$ such that $f = u \cdot \phi(g)$.

For simplicity, we denote the hypersurfaces defined by f and g by Z_f and Z_g . Mather and Yau proved that the contact equivalence is the same as the biholomorphic equivalence for the germs of hypersurfaces.

Proposition 4.2. ([3] Proposition 2.5) Let $f, g \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Then $f \sim^c g$ if and only if $(Z_f, 0)$ and $(Z_g, 0)$ are biholomorphic equivalent.

For $f, g \in \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, we denote the Milnor algebras by M_f and M_g . The *Tjurina algebra* T_f of f is defined to be the quotient algebra of M_f by the ideal generated by f . The following result is a generalization of the famous theorem of Mather and Yau.

Theorem 4.3. (Mather – Yau) Let f, g be defined as above. Then $f \sim^c g$ if and only if $T_f \cong T_g$.

Remark 4.4. The original proof of Mather and Yau requires f to be an isolated singularity. We refer to Theorem 2.26 [10] for the most general case, where the assumption of isolatedness can be removed.

Combing proposition 4.2 and theorem 4.3, we get that the Tjurina algebra determines the germ of the hypersurface singularity. If we assume that f is quasi-homogeneous, then f represents a zero class in the Milnor algebra M_f . In this case, the Milnor algebra M_f and the Tjurina algebra T_f are isomorphic. Therefore, the germ of the quasi-homogeneous hypersurface singularity is determined by its Milnor algebra.

We recall an important lemma in the morita theory of (dg-)algebras. It was originally found by Happel [12] and Buchweitz [2], and later generalized to dg-algebras by Keller [17].

Lemma 4.5. ([17] section 4.5) Let G be the dg-algebra and $C^\bullet(A, X, B)$ be the complex defined in section 3.2. Recall the morphism α defined in section 3.2. There is an exact triangle

$$CC^\bullet(G, G) \xrightarrow{\mu_A^* \oplus \mu_B^*} CC^\bullet(A, A) \oplus CC^\bullet(B, B) \xrightarrow{\alpha + \beta} C^\bullet(A, X, B).$$

In particular, we have a long exact sequence of Hochschild cohomology groups:

$$\dots \longrightarrow HH^n(G, G) \longrightarrow HH^n(A, A) \oplus HH^n(B, B) \longrightarrow$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D(A \otimes B^{op})}(X, X[n]) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Proof of the theorem 2.5. Let X be the germ of the hypersurface singularity defined by $W \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_4]]$. It was proved by Dyckerhoff that k^{st} is a

compact generator of $\mathrm{MF}(W)$ (Corollary 4.12 [5]). And by proposition 5.10 of [13], N^{st} is a compact generator of $\mathrm{MF}(W)$. For simplicity, we denote A_{con} by A and B_W by B . By the Morita theory of dg-categories (see theorem 3.11 [15]), the $A \otimes B^{op}$ module $X := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MF}(W)}(k^{st}, N^{st})$ induces an equivalence of triangulated categories:

$$- \otimes_A^L X : \mathrm{DA} \cong \mathrm{DB}.$$

This implies that α and β are quasi-isomorphisms. By the exact triangle in Lemma 4.5, μ_A^* and μ_B^* are both quasi-isomorphisms. The map

$$\phi_X = \mu_A^* \circ (\mu_B^*)^{-1}$$

defines an isomorphism $HH^\bullet(A, A) \cong HH^\bullet(B, B)$. By Proposition 3.2, $\phi_X(m^B) = m^A$. If we identify $HH^\bullet(B, B)$ with the Milnor algebra M_W , then $m_B = W$ by Corollary 3.6. So $m_A = \phi_X(W)$.

Beginning with the contraction algebra $A = A_{con}$, we reconstruct the Milnor algebra M_W as the Hochschild cohomology $HH^\bullet(A, A)$ together with the class of W by m_A . Then by theorem 4.3, the germ of the hypersurface singularity is determined. $\square$

Remark 4.6. We believe that the contraction algebra (with an appropriate A_∞ enhancement) should determine the formal neighborhood of the singularity in full generality. For higher dimensional flopping contraction, we can show that theorem 2.4 still holds. However, the Milnor algebra should be replaced by the twisted de Rham complex $(\Omega_V^\bullet, dW \wedge)$. This is a work in progress. If Y has non-Gorenstein singularities, the construction of the A_∞ enhancement is still open.

References

- [1] R. O. Buchweitz, *Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and Tate Cohomology over Gorenstein rings*, preprint, 1986. available at <http://hdl.handle.net/1807/16682>.
- [2] R. O. Buchweitz, *Hochschild cohomology of Koszul algebras*, Talk at the Conference on Representation theory, Canberra, July 2003.
- [3] M. Benson, S. S. T. Yau, *Equivalences between isolated hypersurfaces singularities*, Math. Ann. 287, 107–134 (1990)
- [4] H. Clemens, J. Kollar, S. Mori, *Higher dimensional complex geometry*, Asterisque (1988), no. 166 (1989).
- [5] T. Dyckerhoff, *Compact generators in categories of matrix factorizations*, Duke Math. J. vol 159, no. 2 (2011), 233–274.
- [6] W. Donovan, M. Wemyss, *Noncommutative deformations and flops*, preprint, arXiv:1309.0698.

- [7] W. Donovan, M. Wemyss, *Contractions and deformations*, preprint arXiv:1511.00406.
- [8] A. I. Efimov, *Homological mirror symmetry for curves of higher genus*, arXiv eprints, 2009, 0907.3903.
- [9] G. Gerstenhaber, *The Cohomology Structure of an Associative Algebra*, Ann. Math. , 78, no. 2 (1962), 267–288.
- [10] Gert-Martin Greuel, Christoph Lossen, Eugenii Shustin, *Introduction to Singularities and Deformations*, Springer, 2006.
- [11] Z. Hua, Y. Toda, *Contraction algebra and invariants of singularities*, preprint arXiv:1601.0488.
- [12] D. Happel, *Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional algebras*, S eminaire d’Alg`ebre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paul Malliavin, 39’eme Ann ee (Paris, 1987/1988), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1404, Springer, Berlin, 1989, pp. 108–126.
- [13] O. Iyama, M. Wemyss, *Maximal modifications and Auslander-Reiten duality for non-isolated singularities*, preprint arXiv:1007.1296.
- [14] S. Katz, *Genus zero Gopakumar-Vafa invariants of contractible curves*, J. Differential. Geom. 79 (2008), 185–195.
- [15] B. Keller, *On differential graded categories*, Proc. of the Int. Cong. of Math. Madrid. (2006).
- [16] B. Keller, *Introduction to A_∞ algebras and modules*, Homology Homotopy Appl. 3(1) (2001), 135.
- [17] B. Keller, *Derived invariance of higher structures on the Hochschild complex*, preprint (2003)
- [18] D. Orlov, *Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models*, preprint, arXiv:math/0302304v2.
- [19] A. Polishchuk, L. Positselski, *Hochschild (co)homology of the second kind I*, Transactions of the Amer. Math. Soc. 364 (2012), no. 10, p. 5311–5368.
- [20] M. Van den Bergh, *Three-dimensional flops and noncommutative rings*, Duke Math. J. 122 (2004), no. 3, 423–455.